

one-fifth of those occurring at Brockenhurst, Colwell Bay, and White Cliff are found at Barton." It would be certainly anomalous if the Venus-bed had more Barton forms than the Brockenhurst one, seeing that the former occupies a higher zone in the Middle Headon series.

An analysis is made of Prof. Judd's lists from which it appears that in the one list are nine species said to pass into Barton beds, while in the other list, these identical species have that range denied to them. Again, in his Brockenhurst and Colwell list are 22 species of which the range into Barton beds is recognized, while an examination of the Edward's collection in the British Museum shows that they pass up from Barton to Brucklesham beds.

From the authors' lists it appears, on the other hand, that the percentage of Barton forms in the Whitley Ridge bed is about 42 per cent., a lower proportion than at White Cliff, because the number of corals special to the locality. At White Cliff this bed has 52 per cent. The proportion of Barton forms in all the Brockenhurst localities, including the Roydon Zone, is per cent. If for comparison the percentage of Barton forms in the Middle Headon of Headon Hill is calculated, it is found to be 29 per cent. Fossil evidence leads therefore to the conclusion that the Headon Hill marine bed is later in age than the Brockenhurst, the proportion of Barton forms in the latter being one fifth, but nearly one half—a result in accordance with the stratigraphical position.

Similarly, to test by fossil evidence whether the Colwell bed is nearer related to the Brockenhurst than is the Headon Hill one, the percentage of Barton forms is observed in each. In Colwell Bay, they were 29 per cent., in the Headon Hill bed 29 per cent., while in the Brockenhurst bed they were 48 per cent. In order to complete the proof from fossils, if any such needed, it is noted that there are only two species in each common to either Colwell Bay, or Headon Hill, and Brockenhurst, and not occurring at the other locality, while there are 26 species common to Colwell Bay and Headon Hill, and not occurring in Brockenhurst.

These results are in perfect accordance with the stratigraphical succession, and show that Prof. Judd has misconceived the position of the Brockenhurst bed. The authors therefore reject his proposed term of "Brockenhurst series," and revert to the classical nomenclature of the Geological Survey.

## PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

### Cambridge Philosophical Society.

February 7, 1881.

Professor NEWTON, PRESIDENT, IN THE CHAIR.

Herew. Darwin, M.A., Trinity College, was balloted for and duly elected a Fellow of the Society.

The following communication was made to the Society:

*Determination of the greatest height consistent with stability that a vertical pole or nail can be made, and of the greatest length to which a tree of given proportions can grow.* By A. G. GUNNISON, M.A.

1. Suppose a uniform cylindrical pole or wire fixed in a vertical direction at its lowest point, and carried to such a height that the vertical position becomes unstable and flexure begins; it is required to determine this height.

Let  $2a$  be the diameter in inches, and  $A$  the sectional area of the pole in square inches; and  $E$  be Young's modulus of elasticity in pounds per square inch.

Then, if  $\rho$  be the radius of curvature of the central fibre of the pole, the bending moment of resilience (the unit being the inch-lb.)

$$= EI \frac{1}{\rho} = EAI^2 \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

472. W. H. H.



the greatest height to which the pole can reach for the vertical position to be stable; if carried up to a greater height, the pole will curve under its own weight if slightly displaced.

From the expansion of  $J_n(x)$  in a series of ascending powers of  $x$ ,

$$J_{-3}(x) = \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{3}}}{2^{-\frac{1}{3}} \Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} \left( 1 - \frac{3x^2}{2 \cdot 4} + \frac{3^2 x^4}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 10} - \frac{3^3 x^6}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 10 \cdot 16} + \dots \right),$$

and we find by trial that  $c = 1.88$ ,  $c^{\frac{1}{3}} = 1.52$ , and

$$h = 1.52 \left( \frac{9Ea^2}{16w} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = 1.26 \left( \frac{Ea^2}{w} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

For instance, for a solid cylinder of pine,

$$E = 1500000,$$

$$w = \frac{6 \times 62.5}{12^3} = \frac{37.5}{12^3},$$

and if the diameter of the pole be six inches,  $a = 3$ ,  $k^2 = \frac{1}{4}a^2$ , and therefore  $h = 89.45 \times 12$ , and the height in feet is 89.45.

For a steel wire

$$E = 31000000,$$

$$w = \frac{7.8 \times 62.5}{12^3} = \frac{487.5}{12^3};$$

and if the diameter of the wire be one-tenth of an inch,  $a = \frac{1}{20}$ ,

$$h = 1.26 \times 12 \left( \frac{31000000}{487.5 \times 400} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

and

$$= 6.81 \times 12,$$

and the height in feet is 6.81.

If a load be concentrated at the top  $B$ , of weight equal to that of a length  $l$  of the shaft, then the differential equation of the central line becomes

$$EAK^2 \frac{dp}{dx} = wA \int_0^x (y' - y) dx' + wAl(OB - y),$$

and differentiating

$$EIk^2 \frac{d^3p}{dx^3} = -w(x + l)p,$$

the same differential equation as before, with  $x + l$  for  $x$ .

This state of things will be represented in nature by an exogenous tree like the Areca Palm, growing in a cylindrical shaft, and having a cluster of leaves at the top.

II. Suppose the rod to taper uniformly up to a point, being a right circular cone of semi-vertical angle  $\alpha$ ; then at the point  $P$  the bending moment, supposing  $r$  the radius of the cross section of the rod at  $P$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi Er^4 \frac{dp}{dx} = \pi w \int_0^x (y' - y) r^2 dx',$$

and differentiating with respect to  $x$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi E \frac{d}{dx} \left( r^4 \frac{dp}{dx} \right) = -\pi wp \int_0^x r'^2 dx'.$$

Now  $r = x \tan \alpha$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\pi E \tan^4 \alpha \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^4 \frac{dp}{dx} \right) &= -\pi wp \tan^2 \alpha \int_0^x x'^2 dx' \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}\pi w \tan^2 \alpha x^3 p, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$x^3 \frac{d^2p}{dx^2} + 4x \frac{dp}{dx} + \frac{4w}{3E \tan^2 \alpha} xp = 0. \dots \dots \dots (1),$$

the differential equation of the central line of the conical rod, the flexure under its own weight being small.

First put  $p = x^{-\frac{1}{3}} z$ , then

$$x^3 \frac{d^2z}{dx^2} + x \frac{dz}{dx} + \left( \frac{4w}{3E \tan^2 \alpha} x - \frac{1}{4} \right) z = 0;$$

and again, putting  $x = r^2$ ,

$$r^2 \frac{d^2z}{dr^2} + r \frac{dz}{dr} + \left( \frac{16w}{3E \tan^2 \alpha} r^2 - 9 \right) z = 0. \dots \dots \dots (2),$$

Bessel's differential equation, in which

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{16w}{3E \tan^2 \alpha}, \quad n^2 = 9.$$

Therefore the solution of (1), subject to the condition that  $p$  is finite when  $x = 0$ , is

$$p = Ax^{-\frac{1}{3}} J_n(\kappa x^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$



72 Mr. Greenhill, On height consistent with stability. [Feb. 7, 1881.]

from which the greatest height a jelly in the form of a paraboloid may be made can be inferred.

IV. Generally, if we have a solid of revolution like a tree, of which the radius of the section of the trunk at the depth  $x$  below the top is  $r$ , and if  $W$  be the weight in pounds of the part of the tree above this section, then if the tree be of such a height as to be slightly bent under its own weight, the differential equation of the central line of the tree is, as before,

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi Er^4 \frac{dp}{dx} = \int_0^x (y' - y) \frac{dW'}{dx'} dx',$$

and differentiating with respect to  $x$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi E \frac{d}{dx} \left( r^4 \frac{dp}{dx} \right) = -p \int_0^x \frac{dW'}{dx'} dx' = -Wp \dots \dots \dots (1).$$

This differential equation is equivalent to Bessel's differential equation if  $r$  and  $W$  are proportional to powers of  $x$ .

For suppose  $r = \lambda x^m$ ,  $W = \mu x^n$ ; then

$$\frac{1}{4}\pi E \lambda^4 \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^{4m} \frac{dp}{dx} \right) + \mu x^n p = 0,$$

$$x^{4m} \frac{dp}{dx^3} + 4mx^{4m-1} \frac{dp}{dx^2} + \frac{4\mu}{\pi E \lambda^4} x^{n-4m+4} p = 0, \dots \dots \dots (2),$$

$$\text{or } x^2 \frac{dp}{dx^2} + 4mx^{4m-1} \frac{dp}{dx} + \frac{4\mu}{\pi E \lambda^4} x^{n-4m+4} p = 0,$$

$$\text{or } x^2 \frac{dp}{dx^2} + 4mx^{4m-1} \frac{dp}{dx} + \frac{4\mu}{\pi E \lambda^4} x^{n-4m+4} p = 0,$$

which is reduced to Bessel's differential equation by putting

$$p = x^{\frac{1-4m}{2}} z, \text{ and } x^{n-4m+4} = r^2.$$

For instance, in I.  $m = 0$ ,  $n = 1$ ; in II.  $m = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ; in III.  $m = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $n = 2$ .

The solution of (2), subject to the condition that  $p$  is finite or  $\frac{dp}{dx} = 0$  when  $x = 0$ , is as before

$$p = Ax^{\frac{1-4m}{2}} J_{\frac{4m-1}{n-4m+2}} \left( \frac{\kappa x}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-4m+2}{2}},$$

where

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{16\mu}{\pi E \lambda^4 (n - 4m + 2)^2},$$

and the greatest height  $h$  to which the tree can grow without flexure is given by

$$c = \kappa h^{\frac{n-4m+2}{2}},$$

$$h = \left( \frac{c}{\kappa} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-4m+2}},$$

or where  $c$  is the least positive root of the equation

$$J_{\frac{4m-1}{n-4m+2}}(x) = 0.$$

By assigning different values to  $m$  and  $n$  according to the growth of the tree, and to  $E$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\lambda$  according to the elasticity and density of the wood, the greatest straight vertical growth of a tree can be inferred. The application of these formulas to the case of the large trees of California would be interesting, but in the absence of the numerical data required, I am unable to carry this out.

This paper was written for Dr Asa Gray, Professor of Botany in Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass., and was to have been read at the meeting of the American Association last year, but arrived too late.

A pine tree, as described in Sproat's *British Columbia* (1875), is said to have grown in one straight tapering stem to a height of 221 feet, and to have measured only 20 inches in diameter at the base.

Considered as an example of Article II., and neglecting the weight of the branches, a diameter of 20 inches at the base would allow of a vertical stable growth of about 300 feet.

Perhaps the best assumptions to make for our purpose as to the growth of a tree are, (i) to assume a uniformly tapering trunk as a central column, and (ii) to adopt Ruskin's assumption (*Modern Painters*), that the sectional area of the branches of a tree, made by any horizontal plane, is constant.

This is equivalent to putting  $m = 1$  and  $n = 1$  in equation (2), and then the solution depends on the least root of the associated Bessel's function of the order  $-3$ .

Generally, for a homogeneous body,  $n = 2m + 1$ , and the diameter at the base must increase as the  $\frac{1}{2}$  power of the height, which accounts for the slender proportions of young trees, compared with the stunted appearance of very large trees.